

Full Paper

On asymptotic statistical equivalence of order α of generalised difference sequences

Mikail Et¹, Muhammed Cinar^{2,*} and Murat Karakas³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Firat University, 23119, Elazig-Turkey

² Department of Mathematics, Mus Alparslan University, Mus-Turkey

³ Department of Statistics, Bitlis Eren University, Bitlis-Turkey

* Corresponding author, e-mail: mahammedcinar23@gmail.com

Received: 29 December 2013 / Accepted: 3 December 2014 / Published: 11 December 2014

Abstract : We introduce and examine the concepts of $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic statistical equivalence of order α , and strong $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic equivalence of order α of sequences. Also, we give some relations connected to these concepts.

Keywords: asymptotic equivalence, difference sequences, statistical convergence

INTRODUCTION

The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [1] and Schoenberg [2]. Later on it was further investigated from the sequence space point of view and linked with the summability theory by Belen and Mohiuddine [3], Colak [4, 5], Connor [6], Fridy [7], Gadjiev and Orhan [8], Gungor and Et [9], Gungor et al. [10], Isik [11], Kumar and Mursaleen [12], Mohiuddine et al. [13], Mursaleen [14, 15], Rath and Tripathy [16], Šalát [17] and many others. The idea of statistical convergence depends on the density of subsets of the set \mathbf{N} of natural numbers. The density of a subset E of \mathbf{N} is defined by

$$\delta(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_E(k) ,$$

provided that the limit exists, where χ_E is the characteristic function of the set E . A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta(\{k \in \mathbf{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$.

The concept of asymptotically equivalent sequences was firstly introduced by Pobyvanets [18]. Since the last decades, asymptotically equivalent sequences have been studied by several authors [19-25]. In the present paper, using the generalised difference operator Δ^m and a non-decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ of positive real numbers such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$

($n \rightarrow \infty$), we introduce $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic statistical equivalence of order α and strong $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic equivalence of order α of sequences, and give some relations connected to these concepts.

DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let w be the set of all sequences of real or complex numbers, and λ_∞ , c and c_0 be, respectively, the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ with the usual norm $\|x\| = \sup |x_k|$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$. The generalised de la Vallée-Poussin mean [26] is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number L if $t_n(x) \rightarrow L$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\lambda_n = n$, then (V, λ) -summability and strong (V, λ) -summability are reduced to $(C, 1)$ -summability and $[C, 1]$ -summability respectively. By Λ , we denote the class of all non-decreasing sequences of positive real numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$.

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [27] and this concept was generalised by Et and Colak [28]. Later Et and Esi [29] generalised these sequence spaces to the following sequence spaces. Let $u = (u_k)$ be any fixed sequence of non-zero real numbers and let m be a non-negative integer. Then

$$X_u(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta_u^m x_k) \in X\}$$

for $X = \lambda_\infty$, c or c_0 , where $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $\Delta_u^0 x = (u_k x_k)$, $\Delta_u^m x = (\Delta_u^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_u^{m-1} x_{k+1})$, and so $\Delta_u^m x_k = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} u_{k+i} x_{k+i}$. The sequence space $X_u(\Delta^m)$ is a Banach space normed by

$$\|x\|_\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i x_i| + \|\Delta_u^m x_k\|_\infty$$

for $X = \lambda_\infty$, c or c_0 . It is noted that the sequence space $X_u(\Delta^m)$ is different from the sequence space $X(\Delta^m)$ and $X_u(\Delta^m) \cap X(\Delta^m) \neq \phi$, where $X(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta^m x_k) \in X\}$. For this, let $X = \lambda_\infty$ and choose $x = (k^{m-1})$ and $u = (k^2)$; then $x \in \lambda_\infty(\Delta^m)$ but $x \notin \lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m)$. Conversely if we choose $x = (k^{m+2})$ and $u = (k^{-2})$, then $x \in \lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m)$ but $x \notin \lambda_\infty(\Delta^m)$. Let X be any sequence space; if $x \in X_u(\Delta^m)$, then there exists one and only one $z = (z_k) \in X$ such that

$$x_k = u_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} (-1)^m \binom{k-i-1}{m-1} z_i = u_k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^m \binom{k+m-i-1}{m-1} z_{i-m}, \quad (1)$$

$$z_{1-m} = z_{2-m} = \dots = z_0 = 0$$

for sufficiently large k , for example $k > 2m$. We shall use the sequence which is defined in (1) to define the sequence in (2). Recently the difference sequence spaces have been studied [30-37].

MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we give the main results of this paper. In Theorem 1 we give the relationship between $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic statistical equivalence of order α and $\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)$ -asymptotic statistical

equivalence of order β of sequences. In Theorem 2 we give the relationship between strong $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic equivalence of order α and strong $\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)$ -asymptotic equivalence of order β of sequences. In Theorem 3 we give the relationship between $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotic statistical equivalence of order α and strong $\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)$ -asymptotic equivalence of order β of sequences.

Two non-negative real-value sequences x and y are said to be Δ^m -asymptotically equivalent provided that

$$\lim_k \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\Delta^m y_k} = L$$

(denoted by $x \overset{\Delta^m}{\sim} y$).

Using the above expression we can make the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha \in (0,1]$ be any real number. Two non-negative real-value sequences x and y are said to be $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotically and statistically equivalent of order α provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0$$

(denoted by $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$), where u and v are two non-negative real-value fixed sequences such that $u_n \neq 0$ and $v_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For $\lambda_n = n$, we shall write $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m)}{\sim} y$ instead of $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ and in the special case $\alpha = 1$, $\lambda_n = n$, $u_n = 1$ and $v_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we shall write $x \overset{S^L(\Delta^m)}{\sim} y$ (which is called Δ^m -asymptotic statistical equivalence) instead of $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$.

It is easy to see that if x and y are Δ^m -asymptotically equivalent, then x and y are Δ^m -asymptotically and statistically equivalent of order α , but the converse does not hold. For this, consider two sequences, $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$, defined by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta^m x_k &= \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq n^3 \\ 1, & k = n^3 \end{cases}, & n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \Delta^m y_k &= 1, \text{ for all } k. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

It is clear that x and y are Δ^m -asymptotically and statistically equivalent of order α for $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1]$, but they are not Δ^m -asymptotically equivalent.

Definition 2. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha \in (0,1]$ be any real number. Two non-negative real-value sequences x and y are said to be strongly $\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)$ -asymptotically equivalent of order α provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| = 0$$

(denoted by $x \overset{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$). For $\lambda_n = n$, we shall write $x \overset{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m]}{\sim} y$ instead of $x \overset{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$, and in the

special case $\alpha = 1$, $\lambda_n = n$, $u_n = 1$ and $v_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we shall write $x \overset{V^L[\Delta^m]}{\sim} y$ (which is called strong Δ^m – asymptotic equivalence) instead of $x \overset{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$.

Theorem 1. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and α and β be fixed real numbers such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Also, let x and y be two non-negative sequences. Then each of the following assertions holds true:

i) If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n^\alpha}{\mu_n^\beta} > 0 \quad (3)$$

then $x \overset{S_\beta^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$;

ii) If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n^\beta} = 1 \quad (4)$$

then $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \overset{S_\beta^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$.

Proof. i) Suppose that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \overset{S_\beta^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$. Then we can write

$$\left\{ k \in J_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \supset \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left| \left\{ k \in J_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \frac{\lambda_n^\alpha}{\mu_n^\beta} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $J_n = [n - \mu_n + 1, n]$. Hence we get $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$.

ii) Let $x \overset{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ and suppose that $I_n \subset J_n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left| \left\{ k \in J_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left| \left\{ n - \mu_n + 1 \leq k \leq n - \lambda_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n}{\mu_n^\beta} + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n^\beta}{\lambda_n^\beta} + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n^\beta} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\beta} \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, proceeding to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality and using (4), we get $x \stackrel{S_\beta^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$. The following results are derivable easily from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ be such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let x, y be two non-negative sequences. If condition (3) is satisfied, then

- i) $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- ii) $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- iii) $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$.

Furthermore, if condition (4) is satisfied, then we get following corollary

- i) $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- ii) $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- iii) $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu))}{\sim} y$.

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and α and β be fixed real numbers such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Also, let x and y be two non-negative sequences. Then each of the following assertions holds true:

- i) If condition (3) is satisfied, then $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$;
- ii) Let $x, y \in \lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m)$. If condition (4) is satisfied, then $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$,
where $\lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta_u^m x_k) \in \lambda_{\infty}\}$.

Proof. i) Assume that $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that condition (3) holds. Since $I_n \subset J_n$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \frac{\lambda_n^\alpha}{\mu_n^\beta} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right|$$

Since (3) holds and $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$, we get $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$.

ii) Let $x, y \in \lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m)$ and suppose that condition (4) holds. Then there exists some $M > 0$ such that $\left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \leq M$ for all k, m . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| &= \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n - I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n}{\mu_n^\beta} \right) M + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n^\beta}{\mu_n^\beta} \right) M + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n^\beta} - 1 \right) M + \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$. Theorem 2 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ be such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let x, y be two non-negative sequences. If condition (3) is satisfied, then

- i) $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- ii) $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- iii) $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$.

Furthermore, if condition (4) is satisfied, then we get the following corollary.

- i) $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- ii) $x \stackrel{V_\alpha^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
- iii) $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$.

Theorem 3. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and α and β be fixed real numbers such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Also, let x and y be two non-negative sequences. Then each of the following assertions holds true:

- i) If condition (3) is satisfied, then $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$;
- ii) Let $x, y \in \lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m)$. If condition (4) is satisfied, then $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$.

Proof. i) For any two sequences, $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in J_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| &= \sum_{\substack{k \in J_n \\ \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| + \sum_{\substack{k \in J_n \\ \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| < \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\geq \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| &\geq \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \varepsilon \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_n^\alpha}{\mu_n^\beta} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ implies $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$.

ii) Suppose that $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$ and $x, y \in \lambda_{\infty u}(\Delta^m)$. Then there exists some $M > 0$ such that $\left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \leq M$ for all k, m . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| &= \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n - I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n}{\mu_n^\beta} \right) M + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n^\beta}{\mu_n^\beta} \right) M + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n^\beta} - 1 \right) M + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\mu_n^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| < \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n^\beta} - 1 \right) M + \frac{M}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left| \frac{\Delta_u^m x_k}{\Delta_v^m y_k} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (4), we obtain that $x \stackrel{V_\beta^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y$ whenever $x \stackrel{S_\alpha^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y$. Corollary 3 below is easily proven by applying Theorem 3.

Corollary 3. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ be such that $\lambda_n < \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let x, y be two non-negative sequences. If condition (3) is satisfied, then

$$\text{i) } x \underset{V_{\alpha}^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y \text{ implies } x \underset{S_{\alpha}^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y \text{ for each } \alpha \in (0,1];$$

$$\text{ii) } x \underset{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y \text{ implies } x \underset{S_{\alpha}^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y \text{ for each } \alpha \in (0,1];$$

$$\text{iii) } x \underset{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y \text{ implies } x \underset{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y.$$

Furthermore, if condition (4) is satisfied, then we get following corollary.

$$\text{i) } x \underset{S_{\alpha}^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y \text{ implies } x \underset{V_{\alpha}^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y \text{ for each } \alpha \in (0,1];$$

$$\text{ii) } x \underset{S_{\alpha}^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y \text{ implies } x \underset{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y \text{ for each } \alpha \in (0,1];$$

$$\text{iii) } x \underset{S^L(\Delta_{uv}^m(\lambda))}{\sim} y \text{ implies } x \underset{V^L[\Delta_{uv}^m(\mu)]}{\sim} y.$$

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained in this study are more general than those reported in the literature. We get several results giving particular values to the numbers m, α, β and the sequences λ, μ, u and v . If we take $\lambda_n = \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we can write the above theorems without conditions (3) and (4).

REFERENCES

1. H. Fast, "Sur la convergence statistique", *Colloq. Math.*, **1951**, 2, 241-244.
2. I. J. Schoenberg, "The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods", *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **1959**, 66, 361-375.
3. C. Belen and S. A. Mohiuddine, "Generalized weighted statistical convergence and application", *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **2013**, 219, 9821-9826.
4. R. Colak, "Statistical Convergence of Order α . Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications", Anamaya Pub., New Delhi, **2010**, pp.121-129.
5. R. Colak, "On λ – statistical convergence", Proceedings of Conference on Summability and Applications, **2011**, Istanbul, Turkey, p.4.
6. J. S. Connor, "The statistical and strong p – Cesaro convergence of sequences", *Analysis*, **1988**, 8, 47-63.
7. J. A. Fridy, "On statistical convergence", *Analysis*, **1985**, 5, 301-313.
8. A. D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, "Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence", *Rocky Mount. J. Math.*, **2002**, 32, 129-138.
9. M. Güngör and M. Et, " Δ^m – strongly almost summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions", *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **2003**, 34, 1141-1151.
10. M. Güngör, M. Et and Y. Altin, "Strongly (V_{σ}, λ, q) – summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions", *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **2004**, 157, 561-571.
11. M. Işık, "Strongly almost (w, λ, q) – summable sequences", *Math. Slovaca*, **2011**, 61, 779-788.
12. V. Kumar and M. Mursaleen, "On (λ, μ) -statistical convergence of double sequences on intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces", *Filomat*, **2011**, 25, 109-120.
13. S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi and M. Mursaleen, "Statistical convergence through de la

- Vallée-Poussin mean in locally solid Riesz spaces”, *Adv. Difference Equa.*, **2013**, doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-66.
14. M. Mursaleen, “ λ – statistical convergence”, *Math. Slovaca*, **2000**, 50, 111-115.
 15. M. Mursaleen, C. Çakan, S. A. Mohiuddine and E. Savaş, “Generalized statistical convergence and statistical core of double sequences”, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **2010**, 26, 2131-2144.
 16. D. Rath and B. C. Tripathy, “On statistically convergent and statistically Cauchy sequences”, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **1994**, 25, 381-386.
 17. T. Šalát, “On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers”, *Math. Slovaca*, **1980**, 30, 139-150.
 18. I. P. Pobyvanets, “Asymptotic equivalence of some linear transformations defined by a nonnegative matrix and reduced to generalized equivalence in the sense of Cesàro and Abel”, *Mat. Fiz.*, **1980**, 28, 83-87.
 19. M. Başarır and S. Altundağ, “On asymptotically equivalent difference sequences with respect to a modulus function”, *Ricerche mat.*, **2011**, 60, 299-311.
 20. T. Bilgin, “ f – Asymptotically lacunary equivalent sequences”, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.*, **2011**, 28, 271-278.
 21. N. L. Braha, “On asymptotically Δ^m – lacunary statistical equivalent sequences”, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **2012**, 219, 280-288.
 22. A. Esi, “On Δ – asymptotically statistical equivalent sequences”, *Appl. Math. Inform. Sci.*, **2010**, 4, 183-189.
 23. M. Et, N. L. Braha, M. Cinar and M. Karakas, “On Δ_λ^m – Asymptotically statistical equivalent of order α of sequences”, *Tamkang J. Math.* (under review).
 24. M. S. Marouf, “Asymptotic equivalence and summability”, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **1993**, 16, 755-762.
 25. R. F. Patterson, “On asymptotically statistical equivalent sequences”, *Demonstratio Math.*, **2003**, 36, 149-153.
 26. L. Leindler, “Über die verallgemeinerte de la Vallée-Poussinsche summierbarkeit allgemeiner orthogonalreihen”, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **1965**, 16, 375-387.
 27. H. Kizmaz, “On certain sequence spaces”, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **1981**, 24, 169-176.
 28. M. Et and R. Colak, “On generalized difference sequence spaces”, *Soochow J. Math.*, **1995**, 21, 377-386.
 29. M. Et and A. Esi, “On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces”, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.*, **2000**, 23, 25-32.
 30. B. Altay and F. Başar, “On the fine spectrum of the difference operator Δ on c_0 and c ”, *Inform. Sci.*, **2004**, 168, 217-224.
 31. Ç. A. Bektaş, M. Et and R. Colak, “Generalized difference sequence spaces and their dual spaces”, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2004**, 292, 423-432.
 32. R. Colak, H. Altinok and M. Et, “Generalized difference sequences of fuzzy numbers”, *Chaos Solitons Fract.*, **2009**, 40, 1106-1117.
 33. M. Et, H. Altinok and Y. Altin, “On some generalized sequence spaces”, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **2004**, 154, 167-173.
 34. M. Et, “Strongly almost summable difference sequences of order m defined by a modulus”, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **2003**, 40, 463-476.
 35. M. Et, A. Alotaibi and S. A. Mohiuddine, “On (Δ^m, I) -statistical convergence of order α ”, *Sci.*

Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol. **2014**, 8(03), 297-306

World J., **2014**, Art. ID 535419.

36. E. Malkowsky, M. Mursaleen and S. Suantai , “The dual spaces of sets of difference sequences of order m and matrix transformations”, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **2007**, 23, 521-532.
37. M. Mursaleen, R. Colak and M. Et, “Some geometric inequalities in a new Banach sequence space”, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2007**, Art. ID 86757.

© 2014 by Maejo University, San Sai, Chiang Mai, 50290 Thailand. Reproduction is permitted for noncommercial purposes.